

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/777,722 | 02/05/2001 | Gordon Michael Wiram | 57111-5098 | 6086 | |
| 24574 7: | 24574 7590 10/01/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO, LLP 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 7TH FLOOR | | | MCALLISTER, STEVEN B | | |
| | LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3627 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/01/200 | 3 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/777,722

Applicant(s)

Wiram

Examiner

Steven McAllister

Art Unit **3627**

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | W 10 007 TO EVOIDE 4 MONTHIOLEDOM | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | |
| - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR | t 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | |
| | a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | | | | |
| • | riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) 😡 Claims <u>1-30</u> | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | |
| 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on | is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objecti | ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are require | ed in reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| application from the Internat | priority documents have been received in this National Stage tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | |
| | r domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 0 □ to 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | — ··· | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/777,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-29, drawn to a computer system, classified in class 713, subclass 1.
 - II. Claim 30, drawn to a method of making reservations, classified in class 705,subclass 5.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice a materially different process, e.g. making reservations and providing rentals without a connection to the internet.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2167

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (703) 308-7052.

Steven B. McAllister

September 28, 2003